

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Υ 08/981.654 01/08/98 KANEKO 971480 **EXAMINER** MM92/0329 ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND & NGUYEN, D **NAUGHTON ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1725 K STREET NW 2871 SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20006 DATE MAILED: 03/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. G.P.O. 1999 460-693

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/981,654 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Kaneko et al.

Dung Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2871



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Mar 16, 2000</i>	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	
	set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever lure to respond within the period for response will cause the tensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 4-18	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drain The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. er. brity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	,

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-3) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amstutz et al., US Patent No. 4,634,229.

The above claim is anticipated by Amstutz et al., figure 1 which show a liquid crystal display (LCD) apparatus having:

- A pair of transparent substrates (1, 2), each having parallel strips of electrode layers (6,
 7);
- A super twist nematic liquid crystal (5) is sandwiched between the pair of substrates (1,
 2), wherein the total twisted angle (φ) of liquid crystal molecules is between 180° and 360°;
- A pair of polarizes (10, 11) is disposed to the outside of the pair of substrates (1, 2), wherein the polarizers having absorption axes which are inherently orthogonal to each other and the absorption axes inherently being angled 45° respect to a direction of the orientation of liquid

()

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

crystal molecules in an intermediate portion in a direction of thickness of the liquid crystal layer (i.e., $\phi = 180^{\circ}$, $\beta = 45^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 45^{\circ}$);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amstutz et al., US Patent No. 4,634,229.

Regarding claim 2 and 3, Amstutz et al. do not disclose the value of Δ n.d that lies within a range of 600 to 900nm. However, Amstutz et al. do disclose the range of 800 to 1200nm for the Δ n.d (claim 6). Therefore, such disclosed range in Amstutz et al. makes possible the claimed range of 600 to 900nm and overlapping ranges are at least obvious. *In re Malagari*, 499 Fed.2d 1297, 182 USPQ 549 CCPA 1974.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7726.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 03/21/2000

JAMÉS A. DUDEK PRIMARY EXAMINER